

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISS NOVER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,386	01/18/2005	Valerie Sacrez Liebhold	PU020345	2347	
Joseph S Tripo	7590 12/14/201 di	EXAMINER			
Thomson Licensing Inc			FINDLEY, CHRISTOPHER G		
Two Independe Princeton, NJ (			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2482		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/14/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/521,386	LIEBHOLD, VALERIE SACREZ		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY	2482		

		CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY	2482					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE	THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Operiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) b)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.				
have I under set for may r	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(s) soins of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later adduct any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1. tension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding amount of the content of the content of the mailing data the mailing data.	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
	CE OF APPEAL							
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a				
_	NDMENTS							
3	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further coi  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a end of NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. III	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	moliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
5. H			iipiianii Americinenii (	F TOL-324).				
6.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	•	•				
7. 🔲	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
	JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Eyaminer Art Unit 2482								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants contend that the prior art cited falls to teach or suggest providing, in response to the digital video recording apparatus being placed in a power ON state, an initial on screen display message indicating a numerical value representing a number of available video programs stored in the storage device. More specifically, the Applicants disagree with the Examiner's position that case law outlining the automation of a manual step a non-patentable, in Re Venner and Bowser, is not applicable to the claims presented. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. While in Re Venner and Bowser does pertain specifically to a mechanical process, as noted by the Applicants, the concept of making a manual step automatic is more universally applicable. As such, when reviewing the clated prior art in light of this decision, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious that the mental step of counting the number of files shown on the first displayed screen may be performed automatically by the machine, but such a step does not distinguish the present claims over the prior art.